

## A test for non-compactness of the foliation of a Morse form

I. A. Mel'nikova

In this paper we study foliations determined by a closed 1-form with Morse singularities on smooth compact manifolds. The problem of the topological structure of the level surfaces of such forms was posed by Novikov in [1], and has been studied in [2]–[5]. In the present article we investigate the problem of the existence of a non-compact leaf, verify a test for non-compactness of a foliation in terms of the degree of irrationality of the form  $\omega$ , and show that the non-compactness of a foliation is a case of general position.

We consider a compact manifold  $M$  and a closed 1-form  $\omega$  with Morse singularities defined on it. The closed form  $\omega$  determines a foliation of codimension 1 on the set  $M - \text{Sing } \omega$ . Correspondingly, a foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  with singularities is obtained on  $M$  by adjoining the singular points to  $M - \text{Sing } \omega$ . We say that a leaf  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$  is compact if it is a non-singular compact leaf or can be compactified by adding singular points. The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  is said to be compact if all its leaves are compact.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\gamma$  be a non-singular compact leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ , and consider the map  $\gamma \rightarrow [\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(M)$ . Then the image of the set of compact leaves under this map generates a subgroup of  $H_{n-1}(M)$ . Denote it by  $H_\omega$ .

The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  is characterized by the condition that  $\gamma \cap \gamma' = \emptyset$  for  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ . We consider the group  $H_{n-1}(M)$  and the intersection operation for homology classes,

$$H_{n-1}(M) \times H_{n-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{n-2}(M).$$

If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are non-singular compact leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ , then  $[\gamma] \circ [\gamma'] = 0$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $H \subset H_{n-1}(M)$  be a subgroup such that  $x \circ y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . We say that  $H$  is an isotropic subgroup with respect to the intersection operation for cycles. An isotropic subgroup  $H$  is said to be *maximal* if for all  $x \notin H$  there is a  $y \in H$  such that  $x \circ y \neq 0$ . The rank of a maximal isotropic subgroup is denoted by  $h_0(M)$ .

The subgroup  $H_\omega$  of compact leaves is clearly an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{n-1}(M)$ . It can be shown that  $h_0(M)$  is not uniquely determined. Let  $h_0^{\max}(M)$  denote the maximal value of  $h_0(M)$ .

**Definition 3** [1]. The degree of irrationality of the form  $\omega$  is defined to be

$$\text{dirr } \omega = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ \int_{z_1} \omega, \dots, \int_{z_m} \omega \right\} - 1,$$

where  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  is a basis in  $H_1(M)$ .

It was proved in [1] that if  $\text{dirr } \omega = 0$ , then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  is compact. The following test for non-compactness of a foliation on  $M_g^2$  was proved in [6].

**Theorem 2** [6]. *If  $\text{dirr } \omega \geq g$  on  $M_g^2$ , then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  has a non-compact leaf.*

We prove a generalization of this theorem to a manifold of arbitrary dimension.

**Theorem.** *If the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  on a manifold  $M$  is determined by a Morse form  $\omega$  and  $\text{dirr } \omega \geq h_0^{\max}(M)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  has a non-compact leaf.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  is compact, and consider a non-singular leaf  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ . Since  $\omega|_\gamma = 0$ , the form is exact in some neighbourhood of  $\gamma$ :  $\omega = df$  and the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  are the levels of the function  $f$ . In a neighbourhood where  $\text{grad } f \neq 0$  (that is, the form  $\omega$  is non-singular) all the leaves are diffeomorphic. Thus, each non-singular leaf  $\gamma$  has a neighbourhood consisting of leaves diffeomorphic to it.

Let  $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$  denote a maximal such neighbourhood. This neighbourhood is the cylinder with generator  $\gamma : \mathcal{O}(\gamma) = \gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ . We consider its closure  $V = \overline{\mathcal{O}(\gamma)}$ . The boundary of  $V$  contains at least one critical point of the form  $\omega$ . Let  $\gamma'$  be another non-singular compact leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ . Obviously, the cylinders  $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\gamma')$  either are disjoint or coincide, and  $V \cap V' \subset \partial V \cup \partial V'$ .

Since  $\omega$  is a Morse form and  $M$  a compact manifold, there are finitely many singular points. Consequently, the number of different cylinders  $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$  is also finite. Thus, the manifold  $M$  on which the compact foliation is given can be represented in the form

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}(\gamma_i) \bigcup_{k=1}^K \gamma_k^0 \bigcup_{j=1}^I p_j,$$

where the  $p_j$  are the singular points of  $\omega$ , and the  $\gamma_k^0$  are the singular leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ .

Let  $V_i = \mathcal{O}(\gamma_i)$  and let  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \partial V_i$ . Obviously,  $p_j \in T$  and  $\gamma_k^0 \in T$ . Then  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i$ , and  $V_i \cap V_j \subset T$ .

We investigate the connection between the homology  $H_1(M)$  and the representation  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i$ . Using the exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we can show that  $H_1(M) = \langle i_k \cdot H_1(V_k), D[\gamma_k], k = 1, \dots, N \rangle$ , where  $i_k : V_k \rightarrow M$ . Since  $V_k = \mathcal{O}(\gamma_k)$ ,  $\partial V_k \cap \text{Sing } \omega \neq \emptyset$ , and the form  $\omega$  is locally exact, by considering Morse surgery at a singular point we deduce that  $H_1(M) = \langle j_k \cdot H_1(\gamma_k), D[\gamma_k], k = 1, \dots, N \rangle$ , where  $j_k : \gamma_k \rightarrow M$  is an embedding.

Let us compute the periods of the form  $\omega$ . It suffices to consider a  $z \in H_1(\gamma_i)$  with  $z = D[\gamma_i]$ . Obviously,  $\int_z \omega = 0$  for all  $z \in H_1(\gamma_i)$ , because  $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ . Consequently, on  $M$  only integrals over cycles transversal to  $\gamma_i$  can be non-zero:  $z_i = D[\gamma_i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Furthermore, the number of integrals  $\int_{z_i} \omega$  independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  obviously does not exceed the number of independent classes  $[\gamma_i]$ , that is,  $\text{rk } H_\omega$ . Thus, on the manifold  $M$

$$\text{dirr } \omega = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ \int_{z_1} \omega, \dots, \int_{z_k} \omega \right\} - 1,$$

where  $k = \text{rk } H_\omega$ . Consequently,  $\text{dirr } \omega \leq \text{rk } H_\omega - 1 \leq h_0^{\max}(M) - 1$ . The theorem is proved.

It is not hard to show that  $h_0(M_g^2) = g$ , and then Theorem 2 in [6] follows immediately from the theorem proved.

We consider Morse forms in general position.

**Corollary.** *If on a manifold  $M$  the intersection of the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional homology classes is not identically zero, then the foliation of a form in general position has a non-compact leaf.*

*Proof.* Since the intersection of the homology classes is not identically zero, it follows that  $h_0(M) < \beta_1(M)$ . A form in general position has maximal degree of irrationality  $\text{dirr } \omega = \beta_1(M) - 1$ . Consequently,  $\text{dirr } \omega \geq h_0(M)$ , and the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  has a non-compact leaf by the theorem. The corollary is proved.

### Bibliography

- [1] S. P. Novikov, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **37**:5 (1982), 3–49; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys* **37**:5 (1982).
- [2] S. P. Novikov, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **166** (1984), 201–209; English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **1986**, no. 1.
- [3] A. V. Zorich, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **51** (1987), 1322–1344; English transl. in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **31** (1988).
- [4] Le Ty Kuok Tkhang, *Mat. Zametki* **44** (1988), 124–133; English transl. in *Math. Notes* **44** (1988).
- [5] L. A. Alaniya, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **46**:3 (1991), 179–180; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys* **46**:3 (1991).
- [6] I. A. Mel'nikova, *Mat. Zametki* **53**:3 (1993), 158–160; English transl. in *Math. Notes* **53**:3 (1993).